Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/659,011	SZABO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	VINNCELAS LOUIS	2474
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>VINNCELAS LOUIS</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mathew M. Gaffney, Reg. 46,717.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>15 December 2010</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ .		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:  yes		
Claims discussed: claims 1-73		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Reached out to applicant due to 112 related issue and cancellation of some of the claims. Some other claims were directed to different species that needed to be cancelled upon this allowance.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Aung S. Moe/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2474		
(.	Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)